

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SERVICES

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SERVICES

1. THE BID WILL BE EVALUATED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1 Assessment on Functionality (separate from price): 86 points in total, converted to a total weight of 100
- 1.2 Assessment on price: 80 points
- 1.3 B-BBEE grading: 20 points

2. THE VALUE OF THIS BID IS ESTIMATED NOT TO EXCEED R50 MILLION AND THEREFORE THE 80/20 SYSTEM SHALL BE APPLICABLE.

3. PRE-QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS/FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA

- 3.1 All bids will be subject to Pre-Qualification and will be required to achieve a minimum of 60 percent (%) for functionality to be further evaluated.
- 3.2 Bidders who score below the minimum requirement in the assessment of functionality will not be considered.
- 3.3 All the necessary documentation must be submitted for the Evaluation Panel to make an informed evaluation. Evaluation of the Technical (Quality) Requirements will be based on the information provided by the bidder.

No.	Criteria	Points	Weight
C1	Experience	30	30
C2	Expertise + Capability	46	40
C3	Financial Viability	10	10
GRAND TOTAL		86	100

- 3.4 Bidders that score the minimum percentage or more will qualify to be evaluated in terms of the 80/20 preference points system where 80 points must be used for price and 20 points for B-BBEE scores.

T11-25 WiFi

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

C1		FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY - EXPERIENCE Previous successfully carried out projects, supplier's history (similar projects only)								
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order claim points	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total)					
C1.1	Relevant history of company: (Maximum of 6 points)	3 yrs	2	• Proof of registration for e.g. close corporation	Evidence	Points	Evidence	Points	Evidence	Points
		3+ - 6 yrs	4		Proof of company registered less than 3 yrs	2	Proof of company registered 3 – 6 yrs	4	Proof of company registered 6+ yrs	6
		6+ yrs	6							
					Total		Total		Total	

T11-25 WiFi

	740.452.3_evaluation_criteria_for_services					Page 2 of 5
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

C1	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY - EXPERIENCE									
	Previous successfully carried out projects, supplier's history (similar projects only)									
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total)					
C.1.2	Reference letters: (Maximum of 24 points)	1 Letter	8	- Letters from referees regarding SIMILAR service delivered. Letters should contain/display the following: - not be older than 6 months - be on official letterhead of institution to whom services were rendered - clear contact details so that the reference could be followed up - description/details of projects/services rendered - time frame when project was carried out - value of contract - quality of services rendered must be clear - Letter must be legally certified if it is a copy but not if it is original TAKE NOTE References in table format can be submitted as supporting evidence. ONLY INFORMATION ON LETTERS WILL BE SCORED	Evidence					
					Contents in letter to be checked:	Letter 1	Letter 2	Letter 3		
		2 Letters	16		Letter not older than 6 months	1	1	1		
		3 Letters	24		Letter on official letterhead	1	1	1		
					Clear contact details that could be followed up	1	1	1		
					Description/details of project/services	1	1	1		
					Time frame of project with confirmation that project was delivered within agreed time	1	1	1		
					Value of contract	1	1	1		
					Quality of services rendered	1	1	1		
					Letter legally certified if it is a copy	1	1	1		
		Total								

T11-25 WiFi

		740.452.3_evaluation_criteria_for_services				Page 3 of 5
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

C2	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY – EXPERTISE, CAPABILITY AND CAPACITY						
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total)		
(Maximum of 46 points)		Proof of capability to deliver	3	• Proof that the company has access to a vehicle to deliver • Name of delivery officer • Valid license of delivery officer TAKE NOTE – IF COPIES ARE NOT CERTIFIED, NO POINTS WILL BE ALLOCATED	Evidence	Points	
					Certified Affidavit, not older than 3 months, stating that the specific vehicle will be used to deliver and the name of driver		1
					Certified copy, not older 3 months, of registration documents of vehicle		1
					Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of valid driver's license of named driver		1
		Proof of warranty / return policy	5	• Proof of the company policy with regard to warranty / return policy on equipment and consumables	Letter on official letter head to indicate company policy with regard to warranty / return policy.		5
		Proof of quality of products	13	• Official letter from reputable supplier confirming that items are provided to the tenderer.	Letter not older than 3 months		4
					On official letterhead with contact details		4
					That they are SABS approved / of a good quality		5
		Registration with relevant accrediting body	13	• Relevant registration	Registration at Central Supplier Database for Government		8
					Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of relevant accreditation for installation IF ANY (For instance Hikvision – similar, not limited to)		5
			12	Relevant qualification (of all team members) Team of 4 technicians	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of qualification		12
					Total		

T11-25 WiFi

	740.452.3_evaluation_criteria_for_services					Page 4 of 5
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

C3	PROOF OF FINANCIAL VIABILITY TO DELIVER THE SERVICE					
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total)	
	(Maximum of 10 points)	Financial analysis of business viability	10	Latest financial statements Or Review of Financial Documents done by an accredited accountant (or relevant person) that proves all finances are in order	Latest financial statements Or Letter from accredited accountant	10

T11-25 WiFi

	740.452.3_evaluation_criteria_for_services				Page 5 of 5	
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020