

Ref No:	740	0.45.3_evaluation_c	Page 1 of 6			
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SERVICES

	Flavius Mareka TVET College
	Embrace your Future

Bidder name :	Vendor Number

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SERVICES

- 1. THE BID WILL BE EVALUATED AS FOLLOWS:
- 1.1 Assessment on Functionality (separate from price): 113 points in total, converted to a total weight of 100
- 1.2 Assessment on price: 80 points
- 1.3 B-BBEE grading: 20 points
- 2. THE VALUE OF THIS BID IS ESTIMATED NOT TO EXCEED R50 MILLION AND THEREFORE THE 80/20 SYSTEM SHALL BE APPLICABLE.
- 3. PRE-QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS/FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA
- 3.1 All bids will be subject to Pre-Qualification and will be required to achieve a minimum of 60 percent (%) for functionality to be further evaluated.
- 3.2 Bidders who score below the minimum requirement in the assessment of functionality will not be considered.
- 3.3 All the necessary documentation must be submitted for the Evaluation Panel to make an informed evaluation. Evaluation of the Technical (Quality) Requirements will be based on the information provided by the bidder.

No.	Criteria	Points	Weight
C1	Experience	20	20
C2	Expertise + Capability	45	30
C3	Methodology	15	10
C4	Financial Viability	20	10
C5	Locally Based	5	30
	GRAND TOTAL	105	100

3.4 Bidders that score the minimum percentage or more will qualify to be evaluated in terms of the 80/20 preference points system where 80 points must be used for price and 20 points for B-BBEEE scores.

P26/24 Cater K

	740	.452.3_evaluation_	criteria_for_servi	es		Page 1 of 6
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020

Bidder name :	 Vendor Number

C1	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY - EXPERIENCE								
		Previous successfully carried out projects, supplier's experience (similar projects only)							
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and f total)	ill in the			
C1.1	Reference of	Less than 1 yr	1	 Proof of registration for 	Evidence	Points			
	relevant experience/ history of			e.g. close corporation	Proof of company registered for less than 1 yr OR affidavit stating the duration	1			
		1 yr but less than 2 yrs	2	OR	Proof of company registered for 1+ yrs but less than 2 yrs OR affidavit stating the duration	2			
	company: (Maximum of	2 yrs but less than 3 yrs	3	affidavit stating duration	Proof of company registered for 2+ yrs but less than 3 yrs OR affidavit stating the duration	3			
	5 points)			of existence	Proof of company registered for 3+ yrs but less than 4 yrs OR affidavit stating the duration	4			
		3 yrs but less than 4 yrs	4		Proof of company registered for 4+ yrs OR affidavit	-			
		4+ years	5		stating the duration	5			
			0		TOTAL				

1 = 11 = 2 = 2 = 11						
	740	Page 2 of 6				
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020

Bidder name :	Vendor Number

04				FUNCTIONAL CATEOODY EVERDIENCE				
C1	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY - EXPERIENCE Previous successfully carried out projects, supplier's history (similar projects only)							
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points		cle the p		and fill
C.1.2 Reference 1 Letter 5 • (Maximum of			Letters from referees regarding SIMILAR service delivered. Letters should contain/display the following:	Evidence Contents in letter to be checked:	Letter 1	Letter 2	Letter 3	
	15 points)	5 points) 2 Letters 10 3 letters 15	o not be older than 6 months	Letter not older than 6 months Clear contact details that could	1	1	1	
			be followed up	be followed up	1	1	1	
				o description/details of projects/services	Description/details of project/services	1	1	1
	rendered O Year when project was carried out O quality of services rendered must be clear O letter must be legally certified		Year when project was carried out	1	1	1		
		TAKE NOTE		TAKE NOTE	Quality of services rendered	1	1	1
				References in table format can be submitted as supporting evidence. ONLY INFORMATION ON LETTERS WILL BE SCORED	Total			

			•••••			
	740	Page 3 of 6				
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020

Bidder name :	Vendor Number
Diddel Hairie	 Vendor Number

C2			FL	INCTIONAL CATEGORY – EXP	ERTISE, CAPABILITY AND CAPACITY	/ •				
		Criteria	Possible	Documentary proof in order	POINTS A	LLOCA	TED			
			points	to claim points	(For office use only – circle	the poi	nts and	fill in th	e total)	
	(Maxi	Staff Menu's for a	10	• 5 x daily menus FOR	Evidence)		Points		
	mum of 45	week		STAFF that includes: o Food items acceptable to	(10	Menu 1	Menu 2	Menu 3	Menu 4	Menu 5
	points)	PLEAE TAKE NOTE:		the staff	Food items acceptable to the staff	1	1	1	1	1
	points)		Cold drinks acceptable to the staff	1	1	1	1	1		
		(b) Student Menu's for a week	15	• 5 x daily menus FOR STUDENTS that		Menu 1	Menu 2	Menu 3	Menu 4	Menu 5
		PLEAE TAKE NOTE:		includes: o Food items acceptable to	Food items acceptable to student community	1	1	1	1	1
		The menus must be different every day,	s must be student community	Snack items acceptable to student community	1	1	1	1	1	
		NO repetition during the week	student community Cold drinks acceptable to student community	Cold drinks acceptable to student community	1	1	1	1	1	
		(c) Timely delivery	10	Proof that service provider will adhere to set delivery times	Certified affidavit (x 2 points), not older than 3 months (x 2 points), stating that items can be delivered within 12 hours after receipt of an official purchase order (x 6 points)	10				
				Certified affidavit (x 1 point), not older than 3 months (x 1 point), stating that items can be delivered within 18 hours after receipt of an official purchase order (x 4 points)	6					
		. 0	Mo		Certified affidavit (x 1 point), not older than 3 months (x 1 point), stating that items can be delivered within 24 hours after receipt of an official purchase order (x 1 points)	3				

	740.452.3_evaluation_criteria_for_services								
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020			

Bidder name :	 Vendor Number

C2	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY – EXPERTISE, CAPABILITY AND CAPACITY (Continued)								
Cont.	(e) Health & Safety compliance	10	Proof that Health & Safety will be adhered toProof of Certificate of	Certified affidavit, not older than 3 months, stating that: An inspection of the premises can be made by the college to confirm health and safety	2				
		verifies compliance with food safety and hygiene	compliance Responsibility will be taken by the bidder should any challenges arise, e.g., food poisoning	2					
			Standards as issued by	Certified copy of COA Total	5				

C3				PROOF	F OF FINANCI	AL - MET	HODOLOGY	•		
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary claim points	proof	in	order	to	(For office use only -	LLOCATED - circle the points and he total)
``	laximum of points)	Equipment available	15	description a Fridge Stove Food wa	cklist containing and capacity re remers	garding:			Evidence Fridge Stove Food Warmers Certified affidavit (x 1 point), not older than 3 months (x 1 point) Stating that equipment is owned by the tenderer	Points 3 3 3 3 2 4 4 DR
		.0	NO.	including prop	On mation that the cosed cost per	equipme month		·	Or that equipment will be hired if not owned by the tenderer, including proposed cost per month	4

	740	Page 5 of 6				
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020

Bidder name :	Vendor Number

C4			FUN	CTIONAL (CATEGO	DRY – LO	CALIT	Y 🚺	
	Criteria	Possibl e points	Documentary claim points	proof	in	order	to		S ALLOCATED circle the points and fill in the total)
(Maximum of 20 points)	Proof of business/office locally based	20	 Municipal according bidder's premion bidder'	ses. Or Or e the premi lease agred you are hirt with the bid	ises are ement fi ing the p	hired an rom the ov premises i ent.	wner must	Business located within a radius of 20 km of Kroonstad / Mphohadi campuses Business located beyond a radius of 20 km of Kroonstad / Mphohadi campuses	20 5
			TAKE NOTE: IIII	mation pro	ovided i	VIII DO VOL	.cu.	Total	
•	•		'	* .()					

	Criteria	Possible points	POINTS ALLC (For office use only – and fill in the	circle the points	
(Maximum of 5 points)	Financial analysis of business viability	5	Review of Financial Documents done by an accredited accountant (or relevant person) that proves all finances are in order OR Letter from the bank that all financial affairs are in order	Letter from accredited accountant OR Letter from the bank	5
TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS 113		113		TOTAL	

. = ./=0 00.00											
	740	Page 6 of 6									
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020					