Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 1 of 6	Issue
740.45.3	services		1

Bidder name:	 Vendor Number



EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SERVICES

- 1. THE BID WILL BE EVALUATED AS FOLLOWS:
- 1.1 Assessment on Functionality (separate from price): 93 points in total, converted to a total weight of 100
- 1.2 Assessment on price: 80 points
- 1.3 B-BBEE grading: 20 points
- 2. THE VALUE OF THIS BID IS ESTIMATED NOT TO EXCEED R50 MILLION AND THEREFORE THE 80/20 SYSTEM SHALL BE APPLICABLE.
- 3. PRE-QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS/FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA
- 3.1 All bids will be subject to Pre-Qualification and will be required to achieve a minimum of 60 percent (%) for functionality to be further evaluated.
- 3.2 Bidders who score below the minimum requirement in the assessment of functionality will not be considered.
- 3.3 All the necessary documentation must be submitted for the Evaluation Panel to make an informed evaluation. Evaluation of the Technical (Quality) Requirements will be based on the information provided by the bidder.

No.	Criteria	Points	Weight
C1	Experience	53	40
C2	Qualifications	10	20
C3.	Methodology	20	30
C4	Financial Viability	10	10
X	GRAND TOTAL	93	100

3.4 Bidders that score the minimum percentage or more, will qualify to be evaluated in terms of the 80/20 preference points system where 80 points must be used for price and 20 points for B-BBEEE scores.

Document number 740.45.3	Evaluation criteria for services	Page 2 of 6	Issue 1	
	F	Bidder name	,	/endor Number

C1			Previo	FUNCTIONAL C ous successfully carried out pr	ATEGORY - EXPER ojects, supplier's his		ar projects only)			
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points		ice use or	POINTS ALLOC		fill in the total)	
C1.1	Relevant history of	< 3 yrs	2	Proof of registration for e.g. close corporation	Evidence Proof of company	Points	Evidence Proof of company	Points	Evidence Proof of	Points
	company: (Maximum of	3-6 yrs	4		registered less than 3 yrs	2	registered 3 – 6 yrs	4	registered 6+	6
	6 points)	6+ yrs	6		Total		Total		Total	

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 3 of 6	Issue
740.45.3	services		1

Bidder name	Vendor Number

C1			Previo	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY - EXPERIENCE ous successfully carried out projects, supplier's history (similar projects only)			
		Criteria	Possibl e points	Documentary proof in order to claim points (For office use only – continuous in the	ircle the p		and fill
C.1.2	Reference letters: (Maximum of	1 Letter	9	 Letters from referees regarding SIMILAR service delivered in the TVET environment. Letters should contain/display the following: Evidence Contents in letter to be checked: 	Letter 1	Letter 2	Letter 3
	27 points)	2 Letters	18	o not be older than 6 months Letter not older than 6 months	1	1	1
		3 Letters	27	 be on official letterhead of institution to whom services were rendered Services must reflect experience in the TVET environment clear contact details so that the reference could be followed up description/details of projects/services rendered time frame when project was carried out value of contract quality of services rendered must be clear letter on official letterhead Services must reflect experience in the TVET environment Clear contact details that could be followed up Description/details of project/services Time frame of project with confirmation that project was delivered within agreed time 	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1 1
				Value of contract	1	1	1
				TAKE NOTE Quality of services rendered	1	1	1
			0	References in table format can be submitted as supporting evidence. ONLY INFORMATION ON LETTERS WILL BE SCORED Letter legally certified Total	1	1	1 1

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 4 of 6	Issue	
740.45.3	services		1	
	E	Bidder name	Ver	dor Number

C1			Prev		AL CATEGORY - out projects, suppl	EXPERIENCE ier's history (similar projects only)	
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	(For	POINTS ALLOCAT office use only – circle the point	
C1.3	(Maxi mum of 20 points)	Experience of proposed team	20	 Curriculum vitae of team reflecting experience in the TVET environment in: Auditing Building environment 	< 5 yr 5 – 10 yr 10+ - 15 yr 15+ - 20 yr 20+ yr	CV reflecting experience Team member 1 1 3 5 8 10 Total	Team member 2 1 3 5 8 10

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 5 of 6	Issue	İ
740.45.3	services		1	1

Bidder name _	Vendor Number

C2	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY - QUALIFICATIONS									
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total)					
	(Maxi	Relevant qualifications	4	 Certified copy of 	Evidence	Points				
	mum			relevant	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT qualification	2				
	of 10 points)			qualification: Chartered Accountant and Building environment professional	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT qualification	2				
		Registration with relevant accrediting body 6	6	Certified copy of relevant registration with BOTH of the following for the Chartered Accountant: IRBA SAICA Also proof of registration for the team member in the Building environment	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration	2				
					Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration	2				
			3		Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration	2				
			•		TOTAL					

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 6 of 6	Issue	
740.45.3	services		1]
	6	Bidder name	Vend	dor Number

C3	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY – DELIVERY STRATEGY METHODOLOGY											
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points								
	(Maxi	No Strategy	0	Detailed delivery	Evidence	Points	Evidence	Points	Evidence	Points		
	mum of 20 points)	Strategy with limited details	10	strategy methodology that clearly demonstrates the key	No strategy	0	Indication of delivery strategy methodology	10	Full details of delivery strategy methodology	20		
		Convincing Strategy with full	20	stages of a compliance audit:			10					
		details				. 50	Total		Total			

C4	PROOF OF FINANCIAL VIABILITY TO DELIVER THE SERVICE										
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof claim points					POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total)		
	(Maximum of 10 points)	Financial analysis of business viability	10	Or Review of Financial Documents (or relevant person) that proves			ant	Letter from	ial statements Or n accredited untant	10	
	TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS					тот	AL				