Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 1 of 7	Issue
740 45 1	services		1

Bidder name:	Vendor Number



EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SERVICES

- 1. THE BID WILL BE EVALUATED AS FOLLOWS:
- 1.1 Assessment on Functionality (separate from price): 119 points in total, converted to a total weight of 100
- 1.2 Assessment on price: 80 points
- 1.3 B-BBEE grading: 20 points
- 2. THE VALUE OF THIS BID IS ESTIMATED NOT TO EXCEED R50 MILLION AND THEREFORE THE 80/20 SYSTEM SHALL BE APPLICABLE.
- 3. PRE-QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS/FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA
- 3.1 All bids will be subject to Pre-Qualification and will be required to achieve a minimum of 60 percent (%) for functionality to be further evaluated.
- 3.2 Bidders who score below the minimum requirement in the assessment of functionality will not be considered.
- 3.3 All the necessary documentation must be submitted for the Evaluation Panel to make an informed evaluation. Evaluation of the Technical (Quality) Requirements will be based on the information provided by the bidder.

No.	Criteria	Points	Weight
C1	Experience	73	40
C2	Qualifications	14	20
C3.	Methodology	25	30
C4	Financial Viability	10	10
	GRAND TOTAL	122	100

3.4 Bidders that score the minimum percentage or more, will qualify to be evaluated in terms of the 80/20 preference points system where 80 points must be used for price and 20 points for B-BBEE scores.

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 2 of /	ISSUE	
740.45.1	services		1	
	_	5		
		Bidder name	Vend	or Number

C1			Previo	FUNCTIONAL Cours successfully carried out properties.	CATEGORY - EXPER rojects, supplier's his		ar projects only)			
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points		ice use or	POINTS ALLOC nly – circle the po		fill in the total)	
C1.1	Relevant history of	< 3 yrs	2	Proof of registration for e.g. close corporation	Evidence Proof of company	Points	Evidence Proof of company	Points	Evidence Proof of	Points
	company: (Maximum of	3-6 yrs	4	4/0	registered less than 3 yrs	2	registered 3 – 6 yrs	4	registered 6+	6
	6 points)	6+ yrs	6	11/3/0.	Total		Total		Total	

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 3 of 7	Issue
740.45.1	services		1

Bidder name	Vendor Number

C1			Previo	FUNCT ous successfully carri	TONAL CATE							
		Criteria	Possibl e points	Documentary claim points	proof	in	order	to	POINTS ALI (For office use only – ci	rcle the		and fill
C.1.2	Reference letters: (Maximum of 27 points)	1 Letter 2 Letters 3 Letters	9 18 27	Letters from refered delivered in the contain/display to not be older the been official lesservices were services must environment clear contact of be followed up description/detection time frame who walue of contrate quality of service letter must be	TVET enviror he following: han 6 months etterhead of i rendered reflect experdetails so that etails of project wact ices rendered	nstituti ence i the rects/ser as carr	n the TVET ference couvices rendered out	ould	Contents in letter to be checked: Letter not older than 6 months Letter on official letterhead Services must reflect experience in the TVET environment Clear contact details that could be followed up Description/details of project/services Time frame of project with confirmation that project was delivered within agreed time	Letter 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Letter 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Letter 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
				TAKENOTE					Value of contract Quality of services rendered	1	1	1
				TAKE NOTE References in table	format can h	a cuhr	nittad as		Letter legally certified	1	1	1
			15	supporting evidence LETTERS WILL BE	e. ONLY INF				Total	ı		•

Document number 740.45.1	Evaluation criteria for services	Page 4 of 7	Issue 1	
		Ridder name	Vend	or Number

C1				FUNCTION	AL CATEGORY -	EXPERIENCE	00		
		Criteria	Prev Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points			DINTS ALLOCAT		total)
C1.3	(Maxi mum of 40 points)	Experience of proposed team	40	Curriculum vitae of team (available for a full 3 year period) reflecting experience in the TVET environment on ANY of the following: Project Director Tech Director Manager Snr Auditor Auditor ICT specialist Trainee	< 5 yr 5 - 10 yr 10+ - 15 yr 15+ - 20 yr 20+ yr	Team member 1 1 3 5 8 10	V reflecting experience Team member 2 1 3 5 8 10 Total	Team member 3 1 3 5 8 10	Team member 4 1 3 5 8 10

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 5 of 7	Issue	
740.45.1	services		1	
	l _E	Bidder name	Vend	dor Number

2	0 ''	I		EGORY - QUALIFICATIONS	
	Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in	n the total)
(Maxi	Relevant qualifications	8	 Certified copy of 	Evidence	Points
mum			relevant	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT qualification	2
of 14			qualification in any	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT qualification	2
points)			of the following:	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT qualification	2
			 BA degree in finance, accounting, business or a related quantitive field 	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT qualification	2
	Registration with	6	 Certified copy of 	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration	2
	relevant accrediting		certification and/or	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration	2
	body		relevant registration with any of the following: IRBA SAICA CIA from IIA CPA CISA CRMA	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration	2
				TOTAL	

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 6 of 7	Issue
740.45.1	services		1

Bidder name	Vendor Number

FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY – DELIVERY STRATEGY METHODOLOGY Criteria Possible points Documentary proof in points order to claim points (For office use only – circle the points and file claim points)							fill in the total)		
(Maxi	No Strategy	0	Detailed delivery	Evidence	Points	Evidence	Points	Evidence	Points
mum of 25	Strategy with limited	12	strategy methodology (Audit Plan) that		_	Indication of Audit Planning process	1	Full details of how program will be managed	2
points)	details		clearly demonstrates: O Audit Planning			Indication of Audit goals 2016	1	Full details Audit goals 2016	2
Convincing 25 Strategy with full details		process, o Audit goals 2026			Indication of Objectives of the Internal audit Plans	1	Full details of Objectives of the Internal audit Plans	2	
	Strategy with full	Convincing 25 Strategy with full	the development of	No strategy	0	Indication of Requirements for the development of the Internal audit Plans	1	Full details of Requirements for the development of the Internal audit Plans	2
	dotallo		the Internal audit Plans			Indication of Use of Internal Audit Resources	1	Full details of Use of Internal Audit Resources	2
			 Use of Internal Audit Resources Control systems Reporting and 			Indication of Control system	1	Full details of Control system	2
						Indication of Reporting and communication	1	Full details of Reporting and communication	2
		communication Scope changes to the original plans			Indication of Scope changes to the original plans	1	Full details of Scope changes to the original plans	2	
		Transfer of knowledge			Indication of how transfer of knowledge will be done	2	Full details of how transfer of knowledge will be done	4	
			o Timetrames			Indication of Timeframes	2	Full details of Timeframes	5
		CA				Total		Total	

Document number Evaluation criteria to		Page / of /	Issue	
740.45.1	services		1]
	E	Bidder name	Vend	dor Number

C4		PROOF OF FINANCIAL VIABILITY TO DELIVER THE SERVICE							
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in orde claim points	r to	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle to points and fill in the total)			
	(Maximum of 10 points)	Financial analysis of business viability	10	Or Review of Financial Documents done by an accredited ac (or relevant person) that proves all finances are in order	ccountant	(Letter from	ial statements Or n accredited untant	10	
	TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS		122		TOTAL				