

Bidder name: _____ Vendor Number _____

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SERVICES

1. THE BID WILL BE EVALUATED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1 Assessment on Functionality (separate from price): 118 points in total, converted to a total weight of 100
- 1.2 Assessment on price: 80 points
- 1.3 B-BBEE grading: 20 points

2. THE VALUE OF THIS BID IS ESTIMATED NOT TO EXCEED R50 MILLION AND THEREFORE THE 80/20 SYSTEM SHALL BE APPLICABLE.

3. PRE-QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS/FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA

- 3.1 All bids will be subject to Pre-Qualification and will be required to achieve a minimum of 60 percent (%) for functionality to be further evaluated.
- 3.2 Bidders who score below the minimum requirement in the assessment of functionality will not be considered.
- 3.3 All the necessary documentation must be submitted for the Evaluation Panel to make an informed evaluation. Evaluation of the Technical (Quality) Requirements will be based on the information provided by the bidder.

No.	Criteria	Points	Weight
C1	Experience	42	30
C2	Expertise + Capability + Capacity	66	60
C3	Financial Viability	10	10
GRAND TOTAL		118	100

- 3.4 Bidders that score the minimum percentage or more will qualify to be evaluated in terms of the 80/20 preference points system where 80 points must be used for price and 20 points for B-BBEE scores.

P29/24 Raw Chem

Document number	Evaluation criteria for services	Page 2 of 6	Issue
740.45.2			2

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

C1 FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY - EXPERIENCE									
Previous successfully carried out projects, supplier's history (similar projects only)									
Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total)						
			Evidence	Points	Evidence	Points	Evidence	Points	
C1.1 Relevant history of company: (Maximum of 6 points)	< 3 yrs	2	• Proof of registration for e.g. close corporation	Proof of company registered less than 3 yrs	2	Proof of company registered 3 – 6 yrs	4	Proof of company registered 6+ yrs	6
	3 - 6 yrs	4							
	6+ yrs	6							
				Total		Total		Total	

P29/24 Raw Chem

740.45.3_evaluation_criteria_for_services					Page 2 of 6				
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020			

Document number	Evaluation criteria for services	Page 3 of 6	Issue
740.45.2			2

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

C1		FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY - EXPERIENCE						
		Previous successfully carried out projects, supplier's history (similar projects only)						
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total)			
C.1.2	Reference letters: (Maximum of 36 points)	1 Letter	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letters from referees regarding SIMILAR service delivered. Letters should contain/display the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> not be older than 3 months be on official letterhead of institution to whom services were rendered clear contact details so that the reference could be followed up description/details of projects/services rendered time frame when project was carried out value of contract quality of services rendered must be clear letter must be legally certified <p>TAKE NOTE References in table format can be submitted as supporting evidence. ONLY INFORMATION ON LETTERS WILL BE SCORED</p>	Evidence			
		2 Letters	24		Contents in letter to be checked:	Letter 1	Letter 2	Letter 3
		3 Letters	36		Letter not older than 3 months	1	1	1
				Letter on official letterhead	1	1	1	
				Clear contact details that could be followed up	1	1	1	
				Description/details of project/services	3	3	3	
				Time frame of project with confirmation that project was delivered within agreed time	1	1	1	
				Value of contract	1	1	1	
				Quality of services rendered	3	3	3	
				Letter legally certified	1		1	
				Total				

P29/24 Raw Chem

	740.45.3_evaluation_criteria_for_services				Page 3 of 6	
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020

Document number	Evaluation criteria for services	Page 4 of 6	Issue
740.45.2			2

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

C2		FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY – EXPERTISE, CAPABILITY AND CAPACITY						
	Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total)				
				Evidence	Points			
(Maximum of 66 points)	Proof of capability to deliver	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proof that the company has access to a vehicle to deliver • Name of delivery officer • Valid license of delivery officer • Proof that company will provide additional help to deliver at the College • Proof that company can transport hazardous goods • Proof that the driver is certified to transport hazardous goods <p>TAKE NOTE –IF COPIES ARE NOT CERTIFIED, NO POINTS WILL BE ALLOCATED</p>	Certified affidavit, not older than 3 months stating that the specific vehicle will be used to deliver and the name of driver	1			
				Certified copy, not older than 3 months of registration documents of vehicle	1			
				Certified copy, not older than 3 months of valid driver's license of the named driver	1			
				Certified affidavit, not older than 3 months, stating that company will provide additional help to offload deliveries	1			
				Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of a valid certificate that proves that the company can transport hazardous goods	5			
				Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of a valid certificate that proves that the named driver is certified to transport hazardous goods	5			
				Proof of originality of products	18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proof that products are in their original form • Proof that products are SABS approved • Proof of Safety Data Sheet of chemicals to be supplied 	Certified Affidavit, not older than 3 months stating that	1
							Items are in their original form	7
							Items are SABS approved	5
							Safety Data Sheet of chemicals to be supplied	5
		3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commitment to deliver in bulk quantities as requested on specification list 	Certified affidavit, not older than 3 months, stating that quantities can be delivered in bulk sizes as per specification list	3			

P29/24 Raw Chem

	740.45.3_evaluation_criteria_for_services				Page 4 of 6
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3
					16/07/2020

Document number	Evaluation criteria for services	Page 5 of 6	Issue
740.45.2			2

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

C2		FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY – EXPERTISE, CAPABILITY AND CAPACITY - (Continue)				
	Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total)		
Cont.	Return policy	5	• Proof of return policy	Company returns policy outlining the process to handle returns	5	
	Product Shelf life	5	• Proof of shelf life	Certified affidavit, not older than 3 months, stating the shelf life of products will be between 6 months (at the least) and 2 years	5	
	Proof of mark-up	10	• Certified affidavit with an undertaking to cap the markup on a stated (your own discretion) percentage.	Clear indication of % mark-up per supplier	10	
	Timely delivery	2	• Proof that items can be delivered within 7 working days after receipt of an official purchase order	Certified affidavit stating that delivery can be done within 7 working days after receipt of official purchase order	2	
	Registration with relevant accrediting body	8	• Copy of relevant registration (FULL REPORT, not just a summary)	Registration at Central Supplier Database for Government (FULL REPORT, not just a summary)	8	
					TOTAL	

P29/24 Raw Chem

	740.45.3_evaluation_criteria_for_services				Page 5 of 6
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3
					16/07/2020

Document number	Evaluation criteria for services	Page 6 of 6	Issue
740.45.2			2

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

C3 PROOF OF FINANCIAL VIABILITY TO DELIVER THE SERVICE						
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary claim points	proof in order to	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total)
	(Maximum of 10 points)	Financial analysis of business viability	10	Latest financial statements Or Review of Financial Documents done by an accredited accountant (or relevant person) that proves all finances are in order		Latest financial statements Or Letter from accredited accountant Total
						10

P29/24 Raw Chem

	740.45.3_evaluation_criteria_for_services				Page 6 of 6
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3
					16/07/2020