

Ref No:	74	740.45.3_evaluation_criteria_for_services					
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020	

# **EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SERVICES**

t	Flavius Mareka TVET College	
	Embrace your Future	

Bidder name:	 Vendor Number

# **EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SERVICES**

- 1. THE BID WILL BE EVALUATED AS FOLLOWS:
- 1.1 Assessment on Functionality (separate from price): 88 points in total, converted to a total weight of 100
- 1.2 Assessment on price: 80 points
- 1.3 B-BBEE grading: 20 points
- 2. THE VALUE OF THIS BID IS ESTIMATED NOT TO EXCEED R50 MILLION AND THEREFORE THE 80/20 SYSTEM SHALL BE APPLICABLE.
- 3. PRE-QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS/FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA
- 3.1 All bids will be subject to Pre-Qualification and will be required to achieve a minimum of 60 percent (%) for functionality to be further evaluated.
- 3.2 Bidders who score below the minimum requirement in the assessment of functionality will not be considered.
- 3.3 All the necessary documentation must be submitted for the Evaluation Panel to make an informed evaluation. Evaluation of the Technical (Quality) Requirements will be based on the information provided by the bidder.

No.	Criteria	Points	Weight
C1	Experience	30	35
C2	Expertise + Capability + Capacity	28	35
C3	Financial Viability	10	10
C4	Locally Based	20	20
	GRAND TOTAL	88	100

3.4 Bidders that score the minimum percentage or more will qualify to be evaluated in terms of the 80/20 preference points system where 80 points must be used for price and 20 points for B-BBEEE scores.

	740.45.3_evaluation_criteria_for_services					
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 2 of 5	Issue	
740.45.2	services		2	

Bidder name :	Vendor Number
Diddel Hame.	 V CHOOL INDITIDOL

C1		FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY - EXPERIENCE  Previous successfully carried out projects, supplier's history (similar projects only)									
	Criteria Possible Documentary proof in points order to claim points					ice use or	POINTS ALLOC nly – circle the po		fill in the total)		
C1.1	Relevant history of	< 3 yrs	2	Proof of registration for e.g. close corporation	Evidence Proof of company	Points	Evidence Proof of company	Points	Evidence Proof of	Points	
	company:	3 - 6 yrs	4	• •	registered less than 3	2	registered 3 – 6	1	company	6	
	(Maximum of 6 points)	6+ yrs	6	110	yrs	2	yrs		registered 6+ yrs		
					Total		Total		Total		

740.45.3_evaluation_criteria_for_services						Page 2 of 5			
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020			

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 3 of 5	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name :	Vendor Number
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

C1	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY - EXPERIENCE Previous successfully carried out projects, supplier's history (similar projects only)											
		Criteria	Possibl e points	Documentary claim points	Documentary proof in order to POINTS ALLOCATED							
C.1.2	Reference letters: (Maximum of	1 Letter	8	Letters from re delivered. Letter following:				/ice	Evidence Contents in letter to be checked:	Letter 1	Letter 2	Letter 3
	24 points)	2 Letters	16						Letter not older than 3 months	1	1	1
		3 Letters 24	<ul> <li>be on official letterhead of institution to whom services were rendered</li> <li>clear contact details so that the reference could</li> </ul>					Letter on official letterhead Clear contact details that could be followed up	1	1	1	
				be followed u	ıp				Description/details of project/services	1	1	1
	<ul> <li>description/details of projects/services rendered</li> <li>time frame when project was carried out</li> <li>value of contract</li> <li>quality of services rendered must be clear</li> </ul>				Time frame of project with confirmation that project was delivered within agreed time	1	1	1				
				o letter must be	e legally cer	tified			Value of contract	1	1	1
				TAKE NOTE					Quality of services rendered	1	1	1
				References in table supporting evidence LETTERS WILL B	ce. ONLY I	NFORMA			Letter legally certified  Total	1 1	1	1

	74	Page 3 of 5				
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 4 of 5	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name :	 Vendor Number

C2			FL	JNCTIONAL CATI	EGORY – E	XPERTISE,	CAPA	ABILITY AND CAPACITY	11		
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary claim points	proof	in order	to	POII (For office use only –	_	ALLOCATED the points and	fill in the total)
	(Maximum	Proof of capability	8	Proof that the	e company h	nas access t	р а	Evidence			Points
	of 38	to deliver		vehicle to de	liver		Certified affidavit, not older than 3 months			2	
	points)			Name of deli	•			stating that the specific vehicle wand the name of driver	will be used to deliver		2
				Valid license	,			Certified copy, not older than 3 n	months	of registration	2
				Proof that co			. C	documents of vehicle			2
				additional he	elp offload/po	osition/move	//	Certified copy, not older than 3 months of valid driver's		of valid driver's	
				machinery	IE 000IE0	A DE MAT	license of the named driver				
					E NOTE – IF COPIES ARE NOT			2			
				CERTIFIED, NO POINTS WILL BE ALLOCATED							
		Proof of qualified 8 technician	8	Proof that technician has been endorsed by any accredited trade test center AND ENDORSED TO ISSUE A COC	Letter, not older than 3	Certified	1				
					dited trade test center AND	ND	months On official letterhead	OR	R Affidavit, not	. 1	
					Contact details	older than 3 months	1				
				OR			_		l		·
				Certified affi				stating that technician is in poss is endorsed by an accredited tra			5
				is endorsed centre	by an accre	dited trade to	est	ENDORSED TO ISSUE A COC		Center AND	3
		Proof of mark-up	10	Certified affice	davit with an	undertaking	to	Certified affidavit, not older than	3 mont	hs, clearly	
				cap the mark		_		indicating markup %			10
				discretion) percentage.							
		Timely delivery	4	Proof that items can be delivered within     Certified affidavit stating that delivery can be done within.			4				
			W	72 hours if no	eeded			72 hours after receipt of official p	purchas	e order	4
		Registration with	8	<ul> <li>Copy of relevant</li> </ul>	_	`		Registration at Central Supplier	r Datah	ase for	
		relevant accrediting		REPORT, no	ot just a sum	ımary)		Government (FULL REPORT, r			8
		body									

	74	Page 4 of 5				
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 5 of 5	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name :	Vendor Number

C3			F	PROOF OF FINANCI	<u>AL VIABILITY T</u>	O DELIVER 1	THE SERVICE			
	Criteria Possible points			Documentary claim points	proof	in	order to	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total)		
	(Maximum of 10 points)	Financial analysis of business viability	10	Or  Review of Financia (or relevant person	l Documents do			Latest financial statements Or Letter from accredited accountant	10	

C4				LOCALITY				
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and in the total)			
	(Maximum of 20 points)	Proof of business/office locally based	20	Municipal account (water and electricity) of the bidder's premises  Or	Business located within a radius of 150 km of Sasolburg OR Kroonstad	20		
			()	<ul> <li>In cases where the premises are hired an original letter/ lease agreement from the owner certifying that you are hiring the premises must be submitted with the bid document.</li> <li>TAKE NOTE: Information provided will be vetted.</li> </ul>	Business located within a radius of 200 km of Sasolburg	5		
			X	TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS	8	88		

	740.45.3_evaluation_criteria_for_services						
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	16/07/2020	