

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SERVICES

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SERVICES

1. THE BID WILL BE EVALUATED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1 Assessment on Functionality (separate from price): 101 points in total, converted to a total weight of 100
- 1.2 Assessment on price: 80 points
- 1.3 B-BBEE grading: 20 points

2. THE VALUE OF THIS BID IS ESTIMATED NOT TO EXCEED R50 MILLION AND THEREFORE THE 80/20 SYSTEM SHALL BE APPLICABLE.

3. PRE-QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS/FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA

- 3.1 All bids will be subject to Pre-Qualification and will be required to achieve a minimum of 60 percent (%) for functionality to be further evaluated.
- 3.2 Bidders who score below the minimum requirement in the assessment of functionality will not be considered.
- 3.3 All the necessary documentation must be submitted for the Evaluation Panel to make an informed evaluation. Evaluation of the Technical (Quality) Requirements will be based on the information provided by the bidder.

| No. | Criteria | Points | Weight |
|-------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| C1 | Experience | 10 | 10 |
| C2 | Expertise, Capability + Capacity | 66 | 65 |
| C3 | Locality | 15 | 15 |
| C4 | Financial Viability | 10 | 10 |
| GRAND TOTAL | | 101 | 100 |

- 3.4 Bidders that score the minimum percentage or more will qualify to be evaluated in terms of the 80/20 preference points system where 80 points must be used for price and 20 points for B-BBEE scores.

TAKE NOTE: In the case of a joint venture all compulsory documents like tax clearance, B-BBEE certificates etc must be submitted by all the different companies in the joint venture.

| | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Document number | Evaluation criteria for services | Page 2 of 8 | Issue |
| 740.45.2 | | | 2 |

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

| C1 | | FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY - EXPERIENCE Supplier's history (similar projects only) | | | | | | |
|------|----------|--|-----------------|--|---|--------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| | | Criteria | Possible points | Documentary proof in order to claim points | POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total) | | | |
| C1.1 | | Relevant history of company: (Maximum of 4 points) | | • Proof of registration for e.g. close corporation | Evidence | Points | Evidence | Points |
| | < 5 yrs. | | 2 | | Proof of company registered less than 5 yrs. | 2 | Proof of company registered 5+ years | 4 |
| | 5+ | | 4 | | | | | |
| | | | | | TOTAL | | | |

740.45.2 Criteria P23-24 (SN)

| | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Document number | Evaluation criteria for services | Page 3 of 8 | Issue |
| 740.45.2 | | | 2 |

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

| C1 | | FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY - EXPERIENCE | | | | | | |
|-------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|----------|----------|----------|
| | | Previous successfully carried out projects (similar projects only) | | | | | | |
| | | Criteria | Possible points | Documentary proof in order to claim points | POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total) | | | |
| C.1.2 | Reference letters on previous similar projects with a value according to the CIDB grading bracket: (Maximum of 6 points) | 1 Letter | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letters from referees regarding SIMILAR service: Supply the extension, installation, repair, maintenance, renewal, removal, renovation or alteration, of the waterproofing of basements, roofs and walls using specialist systems. (SN) Services to the College in any of the CIDB-gradings delivered. (Grade 1 application must reflect grade 1 reference, grade 2 application must reflect grade 2 reference, and so forth until grade 7 <p>Take note that the letters must have clear contact details so that information can be vetted.</p> | Evidence | | | |
| | | 2 Letters | 4 | | Contents in letter to be checked: | Letter 1 | Letter 2 | Letter 3 |
| | | 3 Letters | 6 | | Letter submitted for a specific grade must confirm service in that specific grade (if the tenderer applies for grade 7, the letter must also reflect work in grade 7) | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| | | Total | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Document number | Evaluation criteria for services | Page 4 of 8 | Issue |
| 740.45.2 | | | 2 |

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

| C2 | | FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY – EXPERTISE, CAPABILITY, CAPACITY | | | |
|------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | Criteria | Possible points | Documentary proof in order to claim points | POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total) | |
| C2.1 | (Maximum of 42 points) | Information on proposed team | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Curriculum vitae of team reflecting relevant experience on any of the following: <p>Take note of the specific designation and scoring per grading</p> | Evidence CV reflecting experience in 1SN to 3SN | |
| | | | | Site Supervisor | |
| | | | | < 5 yrs. | 30 |
| | | | | 5+ yrs. | 42 |
| | | | | CV reflecting experience in 4 SN | |
| | | | | | Foreman Site Supervisor Safety Officer |
| | | | | < 5 yrs. | 12 12 12 |
| | | | | 5+ yrs. | 14 14 14 |
| | | | | CV reflecting experience in 5 SN to 7 SN | |
| | | | | | Professional Registered Civil Engineer Professional Registered Structural Engineer Civil Technician |
| | | | | < 5 yrs. | 6 6 6 |
| | | | | 5+ yrs. | 7 7 7 |
| | | | | | Foreman Site Supervisor Environmental Officer And Safety Officer |
| | | | | < 5 yrs. | 6 6 3 + 3 |
| | | | | 5+ yrs. | 7 7 7 |
| | | | | Total | |

| | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Document number | Evaluation criteria for services | Page 5 of 8 | Issue |
| 740.45.2 | | | 2 |

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

| C2 | | FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY – EXPERTISE, CAPABILITY, CAPACITY | | | | |
|------|------------------------|---|-----------------|--|---|----------------------------|
| | | Criteria | Possible points | Documentary proof in order to claim points | POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total) | |
| | | | | | Evidence | Points |
| C2.2 | (Maximum of 24 points) | Relevant certificates | 5 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Copy of relevant CIDB grading | Copy of CIDB grading : | 5 for the relevant grading |
| | | | | | 1 SN | |
| | | | | | 2 SN | |
| | | | | | 3 SN | |
| | | | | | 4 SN | |
| | | | | | 5 SN | |
| | | | | | 6 SN | |
| | | | | | 7 SN | |
| | | Registration with relevant accrediting body | 14 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Certified copy of relevant registration with any relevant accreditation bodies like SACPCMP (South African Council for the Project and Construction Management Professions), ECSA (Engineering Council of South Africa) or any other South African registered council/body | Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration | 2 |
| | | | | | Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration | 2 |
| | | | | | Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration | 2 |
| | | | | | Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration | 2 |
| | | | | | Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration | 2 |
| | | | | | Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration | 2 |

| | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Document number | Evaluation criteria for services | Page 6 of 8 | Issue |
| 740.45.2 | | | 2 |

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| | | | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Certified copy of relevant registration OF THE COMPANY with the NHBRC | Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration OF THE COMPANY | 2 |
| | | | 3 | Copy of relevant CSD registration – FULL report (not just the summary) | Copy of registration at Central Supplier Database for Government - FULL report (not just the summary) | 3 |
| | | | | | TOTAL | |

740.45.2 Criteria P23-24 (SN)

| | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Document number | Evaluation criteria for services | Page 7 of 8 | Issue |
| 740.45.2 | | | 2 |

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

| C3 | | LOCALITY | | | | |
|----|------------------------|--|-----------------|--|--|----|
| | | Criteria | Possible points | Documentary proof in order to claim points | POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total) | |
| | (Maximum of 15 points) | Proof of business/office locally based | 15 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Municipal account (water and electricity) of the bidder's premises Or In cases where the premises are hired an original letter/ lease agreement from the owner certifying that you are hiring the premises must be submitted with the bid document. <p>Take note information provided will be vetted.</p> | Business located within Metsimaholo / Moqhaka municipality, as well as Fezile Dabi district and in the Free state province | 15 |
| | | | | | Business located within Fezile Dabi district and the Free State | 10 |
| | | | | | Business located within the Free State province | 5 |
| | | | | | Business located beyond the Free State province | 0 |
| | | | | | Total | |

| | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Document number | Evaluation criteria for services | Page 8 of 8 | Issue |
| 740.45.2 | | | 2 |

Bidder name : _____ Vendor Number _____

| C4 | | PROOF OF FINANCIAL VIABILITY TO DELIVER THE SERVICE | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|---|----|
| | Criteria | Possible points | Documentary proof in order to claim points | POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total) | |
| (Maximum of 10 points) | Financial analysis of business viability | 10 | <p>Latest copy of financial statements</p> <p>Take note:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The college reserves the right to verify the authenticity and credibility of the financial statements that are submitted with this bid. <p>In the event that a joint bid venture is submitted, parties to the joint venture must submit separate latest (not older than 18 Months) copy of audited financial statements for each joint venture party</p> | Financial Statements | 10 |
| TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS | | 46 | | TOTAL | |
| | | | | | % |