

Ref No:	74	0.45.3_evaluation_c	criteria_for_servic	es		Page 1 of 8
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	740.45.3



## **EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SERVICES**

Bidder name :	Vendor Number

## **EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SERVICES**

- 1. THE BID WILL BE EVALUATED AS FOLLOWS:
- 1.1 Assessment on Functionality (separate from price): 101 points in total, converted to a total weight of 100
- 1.2 Assessment on price: 80 points
- 1.3 B-BBEE grading: 20 points
- 2. THE VALUE OF THIS BID IS ESTIMATED NOT TO EXCEED R50 MILLION AND THEREFORE THE 80/20 SYSTEM SHALL BE APPLICABLE.
- 3. PRE-QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS/FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA
- 3.1 All bids will be subject to Pre-Qualification and will be required to achieve a minimum of 60 percent (%) for functionality to be further evaluated.
- 3.2 Bidders who score below the minimum requirement in the assessment of functionality will not be considered.
- 3.3 All the necessary documentation must be submitted for the Evaluation Panel to make an informed evaluation. Evaluation of the Technical (Quality) Requirements will be based on the information provided by the bidder.

No.	Criteria	Points	Weight
C1	Experience	10	10
C2	Expertise, Capability + Capacity	66	65
C3	Locality	15	15
C4	Financial Viability	10	10
	GRAND TOTAL	101	100

3.4 Bidders that score the minimum percentage or more will qualify to be evaluated in terms of the 80/20 preference points system where 80 points must be used for price and 20 points for B-BBEEE scores.

**TAKE NOTE**: In the case of a joint venture all compulsory documents like tax clearance, B-BBEE certificates etc must be submitted by all the different companies in the joint venture.

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 2 of 8	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name :	 Vendor Number

C1		FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY - EXPERIENCE Supplier's history (similar projects only)								
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	(For office t	POINTS AL use only – circle t	LOCATED he points and fill in the	total)		
C1.1	Relevant history of	< 5 yrs.	2	Proof of registration for e.g.	Evidence Proof of company registered	Points	Evidence Proof of company	Points		
	company: (Maximum of 4 points)	5+	4	close corporation	less than 5 yrs.  TOTAL	2	registered 5+ years	4		

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 3 of 8	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name : _	Vendor Number

C1	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY - EXPERIENCE Previous successfully carried out projects (similar projects only)									
		Criteria	Possibl e points	Documentary claim points	proof in	order to	POINTS AL (For office use only – c in the	ircle the		and fill
C.1.2	Reference	1 Letter	2	<ul> <li>Letters from refe</li> </ul>	erees regarding	g SIMILAR	Evide	ence		
	letters on previous			service: Supply renewal, remova	the developm	ent, installation,	Contents in letter to be checked:	Letter 1	Letter 2	Letter 3
	similar projects with a value	2 Letters	4	dismantling of fir infrastructure (dr and fire installati	re prevention a rencher and sp	and protection orinkler systems	Letter submitted for a specific grade must confirm service in that specific grade	2	4	6
	according to the CIDB grading			College in any or (Grade 1 applica reference, grade	ation must refle	ect grade 1	(if the tenderer applies for grade 7, the letter must also reflect work in grade 7	2	7	
	bracket: (Maximum of 6 points)	3 Letters	6	grade 2 reference  Take note that the le	,	J	Total			
				details so that inform	mation can be v	vetted.				

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 4 of 8	Issue	
740.45.2	services		2	

Bidder name :	Vendor Number	

C2				FUNCTIONAL CATEGO	RY – FXPFR	TISE CAPABILITY CA	APACITY				
<u>OZ</u>		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points			NTS ALLOCATED	nd fill in the tot	al)		
C2.1 (Maxi mum proposed team of 42 points)	m proposed team of team relevant	Curriculum vitae     of team reflecting     relevant     experience on any	Evidence < 5 yrs.	CV reflectin	g experience in 1SF to Foreman 30	3SF					
	of the foll  Take note of specific des	of the following:	5+ yrs.	CV roflor	42 cting experience in 4 S	· F					
		Take note of the specific designation and scoring per		Distance Control Officer	·	Fighter Officer					
			42	42		grading	< 5 yrs.	18		18	
	42			5+ yrs.	21 CV reflecting	experience in 5 SF to	21 7 <b>SF</b>				
						Environmentalist	Distance Control Officer	Fire Fighter Officer	Safety Officer		
					< 5 yrs.	8	8	8	8		
			5⁺ yrs.	11	11	10	10				
							Total				

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 5 of 8	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name :	Vendor Number

C2			FUNCTIO	NAL CATEGORY – EXPE	ERTISE, CAPABILITY, CAPACITY			
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total)			
C2.2	(Maxi mum of 24 points)	Relevant certificates	5	Copy of relevant CIDB grading	Evidence  Copy of CIDB grading:  1 SF 2 SF 3 SF 4 SF 5 SF 6 SF 7 SF	Points 5 for the relevant grading		
		Registration with relevant accrediting body	14	Certified copy of relevant registration with any relevant accreditation bodies like	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration  Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration  Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT	2 2		
			SACPCMP (South African Council for the Project and Construction	registration  Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration  Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT	2 2			
	Manag Profess • ECSA Counci Africa) South a registe		5	Management Professions), ECSA (Engineering	registration  Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration	2		
		Council of South Africa) or any other South African registered council/body	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of <b>RELEVANT</b> registration	2				

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 6 of 8	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name :	Vendor Number

	2	<ul> <li>Certified copy of relevant registration OF THE COMPANY with the NHBRC</li> </ul>	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration OF THE COMPANY	2
	3	Copy of relevant CSD registration – FULL report (not just the summary	Copy of registration at Central Supplier Database for Government - FULL report (not just the summary)	3
			TOTAL	

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 7 of 8	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name : _	Vendor Number

C3				LOCALITY		
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	(For office use only – o	LLOCATED circle the points and fill total)
	(Maximum of 15 points)	Proof of business/office locally based	15	<ul> <li>Municipal account (water and electricity) of the bidder's premises</li> <li>Or</li> <li>In cases where the premises are hired an original</li> </ul>	Business located within Metsimaholo / Moqhaka municipality, as well as Fezile Dabi district and in the Free state province	15
				letter/ lease agreement from the owner certifying that you are hiring the premises must be submitted with the bid document.	Business located within Fezile Dabi district and the Free State	10
				Take note information provided will be vetted.	Business located within the Free State province	5
					Business located beyond the Free State province	0
					Total	

		Ridder name :	V	dor Number
740.45.2	services		2	
Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 8 of 8	Issue	

C4			F	PROOF OF FINANCI	AL VIABILITY T	O DELIVER	THE SERVICE					
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary claim points	proof	in	order	er to POINTS ALLOCATED  (For office use only – circle the points and fill in the total)				
	(Maximum of 10 points)	Financial analysis of business viability	10		serves the right e financial state joint bid venture nit separate late	to verify the a ments that ar is submitted st (not older	e submitted with , parties to the joi than 18 Months	int s)	Financial :	Statements	10	
	TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS 46					TOTA	\L					