

Ref No:	740.45.3_evaluation_criteria_for_services				Page 1 of 8	
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	740.45.3

## **EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SERVICES**

Flavius Mareka TVET College	
Embrace your Future	

Bidder name :	Vendor Number

## **EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SERVICES**

- 1. THE BID WILL BE EVALUATED AS FOLLOWS:
- 1.1 Assessment on Functionality (separate from price): 101 points in total, converted to a total weight of 100
- 1.2 Assessment on price: 80 points
- 1.3 B-BBEE grading: 20 points
- 2. THE VALUE OF THIS BID IS ESTIMATED NOT TO EXCEED R50 MILLION AND THEREFORE THE 80/20 SYSTEM SHALL BE APPLICABLE.
- 3. PRE-QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS/FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA
- 3.1 All bids will be subject to Pre-Qualification and will be required to achieve a minimum of 60 percent (%) for functionality to be further evaluated.
- 3.2 Bidders who score below the minimum requirement in the assessment of functionality will not be considered.
- 3.3 All the necessary documentation must be submitted for the Evaluation Panel to make an informed evaluation. Evaluation of the Technical (Quality) Requirements will be based on the information provided by the bidder.

No.	Criteria	Points	Weight
C1	Experience	10	10
C2	Expertise, Capability + Capacity	66	65
C3	Locality	15	15
C4	Financial Viability	10	10
	GRAND TOTAL	101	100

3.4 Bidders that score the minimum percentage or more will qualify to be evaluated in terms of the 80/20 preference points system where 80 points must be used for price and 20 points for B-BBEEE scores.

**TAKE NOTE**: In the case of a joint venture all compulsory documents like tax clearance, B-BBEE certificates etc must be submitted by all the different companies in the joint venture.

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 2 of 8	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name :	 Vendor Number

C1		FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY - EXPERIENCE Supplier's history (similar projects only)						
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	(For office u		LLOCATED the points and fill in the	total)
C1.1	Relevant history of	< 5 yrs.	2	Proof of registration for e.g.	Evidence Proof of company registered	Points	Evidence Proof of company	Points
	company: (Maximum of 4 points)	5+	4	close corporation	less than 5 yrs.  TOTAL	2	registered 5+ years	4

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 3 of 8	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

D: 1.1	37 I M I
Bidder name :	Vendor Number

C1							Y - EXPERIENC				
		Criteria	Possibl	Previous successfu  Documentary	lly carried proof	out pro in	ojects (similar pro order to	POINTS AL			
			e points	claim points			0,/	(For office use only – ci		points a	and fill
C.1.2	Reference	1 Letter	2	<ul> <li>Letters from re</li> </ul>	eferees reg	arding	SIMILAR	Evide	nce		
	letters on previous			service: Supp Works (ME) se	ly Mechan	ical Er	gineering	Contents in letter to be checked:	Letter 1	Letter 2	Letter 3
	similar projects with a value	2 Letters	4	the CIDB-grad application mu grade 2 applic	ist reflect o ation must	rade 1 reflec	reference, grade 2	Letter submitted for a specific grade must confirm service in that specific grade	2	4	6
	according to the CIDB grading			reference, and				(if the tenderer applies for grade 7, the letter must also reflect work in grade 7		7	Ŭ
	bracket: (Maximum of 6 points)	3 Letters	6	details so that info				Total			

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 4 of 8	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name :	Vendor Number

C2				FUNCTIONAL CATEGO	RY – EXPER	TISE, CAPABILITY, CA	PACITY			
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points			NTS ALLOCATED circle the points and fill in the total)			
C2.1	(Maxi mum of 42	Information on proposed team		Curriculum vitae     of team reflecting     relevant		Evidence  CV reflecting experience in 1ME to 3ME				
	points)		experience on any of the following:	< 5 yrs.	Mechanic 18	Mech	nanic Assistance 18	9		
				Take note of the	5⁺ yrs.		21 CV reflecting experience in 4 ME			
				specific designation and scoring per	•.0	Mechanical Engineer	Mechanic	Mechanic Assistance	Safety Officer	
				grading	< 5 yrs.	8	8	8	8	
			42		5+ yrs.	11 CV reflecting	11 experience in 5 ME to	10 o 7 ME	10	
						Professionally, registered Mechanical Engineer	Mechanical Engineer	Mechanic	al Foreman	
					< 5 yrs.	6	6		6	
					5⁺ yrs.	7	7		7	
						Mechanic	Mechanic Assistance	Safety	/ Office	
				7	< 5 yrs.	6	6		6	
					5⁺ yrs.	7	7		7	
							Total			

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 5 of 8	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name :	Vendor Number

C2			FUNCTIO	NAL CATEGORY – EXPI	ERTISE, CAPABILITY, CAPACITY	
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and	fill in the total)
C2.2	(Maxi mum of 24 points)	Relevant certificates	5	Copy of relevant CIDB grading	Evidence Copy of CIDB grading:  1 ME 2 ME 3 ME 4 ME 5 ME 6 ME 7 ME	Points 5 for the relevant grading
		relevant accrediting body  relevant registr with any releval accreditation be like  SACPCMP (So African Council the Project and Construction Management Professions),  ECSA (Engineer Council of South	14	Certified copy of relevant registration with any relevant	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of <b>RELEVANT</b> registration  Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of <b>RELEVANT</b>	2
					registration  Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of <b>RELEVANT</b> registration	2
				African Council for the Project and	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of <b>RELEVANT</b> registration	2
				Management	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of <b>RELEVANT</b> registration  Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of <b>RELEVANT</b>	2
				ECSA (Engineering	registration	2
			Africa) or any other South African registered	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of <b>RELEVANT</b> registration	2	

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 6 of 8	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name :	Vendor Number

	2	Certified copy of relevant registration     OF THE COMPANY     with the NHBRC	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration OF THE COMPANY	2
	3	Copy of relevant CSD registration – FULL report (not just the summary	Copy of registration at Central Supplier Database for Government - FULL report (not just the summary)	3
			TOTAL	

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 7 of 8	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name :	Vendor Number

C3				LOCALITY	<u> </u>			
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	(For office use only –	LLOCATED circle the points and fill e total)		
	(Maximum of 15 points)	Proof of business/office locally based	15	<ul> <li>Municipal account (water and electricity) of the bidder's premises</li> <li>Or</li> <li>In cases where the premises are hired an original</li> </ul>	Business located within Metsimaholo / Moqhaka municipality, as well as Fezile Dabi district and in the Free state province	15		
				letter/ lease agreement from the owner certifying that you are hiring the premises must be submitted with the bid document.	Business located within Fezile Dabi district and the Free State	10		
					Business located within the Free State province	5		
					Business located beyond the Free State province	0		
					Total			

Document number 740.45.2	Evaluation criteria for services	Page 8 of 8	Issue 2	
	I	Bidder name :		Vendor Number

%

C4			F	PROOF OF FINANCIA	AL VIABILITY T	O DELIVER	R THE SERVICE		,			
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary claim points	proof	in	order	to	o POINTS ALLOCATED  (For office use only – circle points and fill in the total			
	(Maximum of 10 points)	Financial analysis of business viability	10		serves the right to e financial stater oint bid venture ait separate lates	o verify the nents that a is submitted st (not older	r than 18 Months	int s)	Financial Statements	10		
	TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS  46					тота	۸L					