

Ref No:	740	0.45.3_evaluation_c	criteria_for_servic	es		Page 1 of 8
Approved by:	Council	Issue Date:	12/03/2015	Rev:	3	740.45.3





Bidder name :	Vendor Number

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SERVICES

- 1. THE BID WILL BE EVALUATED AS FOLLOWS:
- 1.1 Assessment on Functionality (separate from price): 101 points in total, converted to a total weight of 100
- 1.2 Assessment on price: 80 points
- 1.3 B-BBEE grading: 20 points
- 2. THE VALUE OF THIS BID IS ESTIMATED NOT TO EXCEED R50 MILLION AND THEREFORE THE 80/20 SYSTEM SHALL BE APPLICABLE.
- 3. PRE-QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS/FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA
- 3.1 All bids will be subject to Pre-Qualification and will be required to achieve a minimum of 60 percent (%) for functionality to be further evaluated.
- 3.2 Bidders who score below the minimum requirement in the assessment of functionality will not be considered.
- 3.3 All the necessary documentation must be submitted for the Evaluation Panel to make an informed evaluation. Evaluation of the Technical (Quality) Requirements will be based on the information provided by the bidder.

No.	Criteria	Points	Weight
C1	Experience	10	10
C2	Expertise, Capability + Capacity	66	65
C3	Locality	15	15
C4	Financial Viability	10	10
	GRAND TOTAL	101	100

3.4 Bidders that score the minimum percentage or more will qualify to be evaluated in terms of the 80/20 preference points system where 80 points must be used for price and 20 points for B-BBEEE scores.

TAKE NOTE: In the case of a joint venture all compulsory documents like tax clearance, B-BBEE certificates etc must be submitted by all the different companies in the joint venture.

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 2 of 8	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name :	Vendor Number

C1		FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY - EXPERIENCE Supplier's history (similar projects only)							
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	POINTS ALLOCATED				
C1.1	Relevant history of	< 5 yrs.	2	Proof of registration for e.g.	Evidence Proof of company registered	Points	Evidence Proof of company	Points	
	company: (Maximum of 4 points)	5+	4	close corporation	less than 5 yrs. TOTAL	2	registered 5+ years	4	

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 3 of 8	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name :	Ven	ndor Number
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

C1		FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY - EXPERIENCE Previous successfully carried out projects (similar projects only)										
		Criteria	Possibl e points	Documentary claim points	proof	in	order	to	POINTS AL (For office use only – ci in the	rcle the		ınd fill
C.1.2	Reference	1 Letter	2	 Letters from re 	ferees rec	arding	SIMILAR		Evide	nce		
	letters on previous			service (CE – (Grade 1 appli	Civil Engin	neering)	delivered.		Contents in letter to be checked:	Letter 1	Letter 2	Letter 3
	similar projects with a value	2 Letters	4	reference, grade 2 refere	de 2 applio	cation r	nust reflect		Letter submitted for a specific grade must confirm service in that specific grade	2	4	6
	relating to your CIDB grading:			Take note that the details so that info				act	(if the tenderer applies for grade 7, the letter must also reflect work in grade 7		·	
	(Maximum of 6 points)	3 Letters	6		▼				Total			

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 4 of 8	Issue	
740.45.2	services		2	

Bidder name :	Vendor Number

C2				FUNCTIONAL CATEGO	RY – EXPER	TISE, CAPABILITY, CA	APACITY	
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	POINTS ALLOCATED			
C2.1	(Maxi mum of 42	Information on proposed team		Curriculum vitae of team reflecting relevant	Evidence	CV reflectin	g experience in 1CE to 3	CE
						Civil Engineer	Civil Technician	Foreman
	points)			experience on any	< 5 yrs.	5	5	5
				of the following:	5⁺ yrs.	6	6	6
			Take note of the	CV reflecting experience in 4CE				
				specific designation and scoring per	10	Professionally, registered Engineer	Structural Engineer	Project Manager
				grading	< 5 yrs.	6	6	6
			42	grading	5+ yrs.	7	7	7
			72			CV reflectin	g experience in 5CE to 7	CE
						Professionally, registered Engineer	Structural Engineer	Project Manager
					< 5 yrs.	6	6	6
					5+ yrs.	7	7	7
						Civil Technician	Health & Safety Office	Foreman
					< 5 yrs.	6	6	6
			V	*	5⁺ yrs.	7	7	7
							Total	

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 5 of 8	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name:	Vendor Number

C2			FUNCTIO	NAL CATEGORY – EXPE	ERTISE, CAPABILITY, CAPACITY	
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary proof in order to claim points	POINTS ALLOCATED (For office use only – circle the points and	fill in the total)
C2.2	(Maxi mum of 24 points)	Relevant certificates	5	Copy of relevant CIDB grading	Evidence Copy of CIDB grading: 1CE 2CE 3CE 4CE 5CE 6CE 7CE	Points 5 for the relevant grading
		Registration with relevant accrediting body	14	Certified copy of relevant registration with any relevant accreditation bodies like SACPCMP (South African Council for the Project and	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration	2 2 2 2
			5	Construction Management Professions), • ECSA (Engineering Council of South	Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT registration Certified copy, not older than 3 months, of RELEVANT	2
				Africa) or any other South African registered council/body	registration	2

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 6 of 8	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name:	Vendor Number

3	with the NHBRC Copy of relevant CSD registration – FULL report (not just the	Copy of registration at Central Supplier Database for Government - FULL report (not just the summary)	3
	summary	TOTAL	

Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 7 of 8	Issue
740.45.2	services		2

Bidder name :	Vendor Number

C3					LOCA	ALITY			
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary claim points	proof	in	order to	(For office use only –	LLOCATED circle the points and fill total)
	(Maximum of 15 points)	Proof of business/office locally based	15	Municipal account bidder's premiseIn cases where	es ` O	r		Business located within Metsimaholo / Moqhaka municipality, as well as Fezile Dabi district and in the Free state province	15
					letter/ lease agreement from the owner certifying that you are hiring the premises must be submitted with				10
				Take note informa	0	led will b	e vetted.	Business located within the Free State province	5
								Business located beyond the Free State province	0
)				Total	

		Ridder name ·	Van	dor Number
740.45.2	services		2]
Document number	Evaluation criteria for	Page 8 of 8	Issue	

C4			F	PROOF OF FINANCIAL	VIABILITY T	O DELIVEF	R THE SERVICE				
		Criteria	Possible points	Documentary claim points						circle the	
	(Maximum of 10 points)	Financial analysis of business viability	10	Take note: • The college reserved bild. In the event that a join venture must submit copy of audited final	rves the right t inancial stater nt bid venture separate late	o verify the nents that a is submitted st (not olde	are submitted with d, parties to the joi r than 18 Months	nt s)	Financial :	Statements	10
	TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS 46					ТОТА	L				

%